

# Volume 1

## Chapters and Chapter Appendices

- Chapter 5: Summary**
- Chapter 1: Purpose of and Need for Action**
- Chapter 2: Alternatives**  
Appendix 2A: Revised Travel Demand Modeling Information
- Chapter 3: Growth Choices**  
Appendix 3A: Mountain View Corridor Growth Choices Study  
Appendix 3B: Mountain View Vision Voluntary Agreement
- Chapter 4: Land Use**
- Chapter 5: Farmlands**  
Appendix 5A: Farmland Rating Form and Correspondence
- Chapter 6: Community Impacts**  
Appendix 6A: Property Impacts



## List of Tables

### Summary Tables

Table S-1. Growth in Population, Employment, and Households in the Mountain View Corridor Study Area, 2005 to 2030.....	S-2
Table S-2. Summary of Transportation Needs in the MVC Study Area.....	S-6
Table S-3. Environmental Impacts from the Salt Lake County Alternatives.....	S-24
Table S-4. Environmental Impacts from the Utah County Alternatives.....	S-25
Table S-5. Comparison of the Costs of the Action Alternatives (in 2007).....	S-28
Table S-6. Summary of MVC Phasing for the 5600 West Transit Alternative.....	S-31
Table S-7. Summary of MVC Phasing for the 5800 West Freeway Alternative.....	S-32
Table S-8. Summary of MVC Phasing for the 2100 North Freeway Alternative.....	S-33

### Chapter 1 Tables

Table 1.3-1. Measures Used To Define the Project’s Purpose and Need and Develop Alternatives.....	1-6
Table 1.3-2. Summary of Transportation Needs in the Study Area.....	1-8
Table 1.4-1. Growth in Population, Employment, and Households in the Mountain View Corridor Study Area, 2005 to 2030.....	1-11
Table 1.5-1. City and Community General Plans That Identify a Need for the Mountain View Corridor.....	1-14
Table 1.6-1. 2005 and 2030 Total Miles of Road in the Study Area with PM Peak Period LOS of E or F under the No-Action Alternative.....	1-22
Table 1.6-2. 2005 and 2030 Daily User Delay, Average Speed, and Lost Productivity under the No-Action Alternative.....	1-23
Table 1.6-3. Locations with Above-Average Accident Rates in the Mountain View Corridor Study Area.....	1-24
Table 1.6-4. Transit Use Pattern by County.....	1-26

### Chapter 2 Tables

Table 2.1-1. Summary of the Project’s Purpose.....	2-2
Table 2.1-2. Recent Transportation Studies.....	2-4
Table 2.1-3. Regional Model Assumptions.....	2-9
Table 2.1-4. Level 1 Screening Criteria.....	2-12
Table 2.1-5. Level 1 Screening – Alternatives or Actions Eliminated.....	2-13
Table 2.1-6. Level 2 Roadway Screening Criteria and Subcriteria Weighting.....	2-17
Table 2.1-7. Level 2 Screening Results – Salt Lake County Roadway Alternatives.....	2-19
Table 2.1-8. Level 2 Screening Results – Utah County Roadway Alternatives.....	2-20
Table 2.1-9. Results of Screening.....	2-24
Table 2.1-10. Comparison of Impacts from the SR 111 Alternatives and Other Alternatives.....	2-28
Table 2.1-11. Model Versions and Projections Used for Alternatives Development.....	2-31
Table 2.1-12. Highway Cross-Section Components and Dimensions.....	2-36
Table 2.1-13. Arterial Cross-Section Components and Dimensions.....	2-37
Table 2.1-14. Proposed Interchange Locations.....	2-39



Table 2.1-15. Reconsideration of the Utah County Alternatives.....	2-53
Table 2.1-16. Results of Alternatives Refinement and Reconsideration Processes.....	2-57
Table 2.1-17. Summary of Costs and Impacts of the Utah County Alternatives.....	2-64
Table 2.1-18. Design Options for the MVC Alternatives.....	2-67
Table 2.2-1. Preliminary MVC Cost Estimate (in 2007 Dollars) – Salt Lake County Alternatives.....	2-72
Table 2.2-2. Proposed Station Locations and 2030 Daily Boardings for the 5600 West Transit Alternative.....	2-75
Table 2.2-3. Salt Lake County Lane Configuration – 5800 West Freeway Alternative.....	2-77
Table 2.2-4. Salt Lake County Cross Streets – 5800 West Freeway Alternative.....	2-78
Table 2.2-5. Salt Lake County Lane Configuration – 7200 West Freeway Alternative.....	2-82
Table 2.2-6. Salt Lake County Cross Streets – 7200 West Freeway Alternative.....	2-83
Table 2.2-7. Preliminary MVC Cost Estimate (in 2007 Dollars) – Utah County Alternatives.....	2-85
Table 2.2-8. Utah County Lane Configuration – Southern Freeway Alternative.....	2-86
Table 2.2-9. Utah County Cross Streets – Southern Freeway Alternative.....	2-87
Table 2.2-10. Utah County Lane Configuration – 2100 North Freeway Alternative.....	2-88
Table 2.2-11. Utah County Cross Streets – 2100 North Freeway Alternative.....	2-89
Table 2.2-12. Utah County Lane Configuration – Arterials Alternative.....	2-91
Table 2.2-13. Utah County Cross Streets – Arterials Alternative.....	2-92
Table 2.2-14. MVC Roadway Alternatives Analyzed as Tolloed Option.....	2-94
Table 2.2-15. Number of Lanes for Non-tolloed and Tolloed Options in 2030.....	2-96
Table 2.3-1. Land Acquired by UDOT for the Salt Lake County Alternatives.....	2-104
Table 2.3-2. Land Acquired by UDOT for the Utah County Alternatives.....	2-106
Table 2.4-1. Comparison of Hours of Daily Delay for the Non-tolloed Alternatives.....	2-107
Table 2.4-2. Comparison of Hours of Daily Delay for the Tolloed Alternatives.....	2-108
Table 2.4-3. Comparison of PM (3 PM to 6 PM) Travel Time and Speed – Salt Lake County Non-tolloed Alternatives.....	2-109
Table 2.4-4. Comparison of PM (3 PM to 6 PM) Travel Time and Speed – Salt Lake County Tolloed Alternatives.....	2-110
Table 2.4-5. Comparison of PM (3 PM to 6 PM) Travel Time and Speed – Utah County Non-tolloed Alternatives.....	2-111
Table 2.4-6. Comparison of PM (3 PM to 6 PM) Travel Time and Speed – Utah County Tolloed Alternatives.....	2-112
Table 2.4-7. Comparison of the Costs of the Action Alternatives (in 2007 Dollars).....	2-113
Table 2.4-8. Environmental Impacts from the Salt Lake County Alternatives.....	2-114
Table 2.4-9. Environmental Impacts from the Utah County Alternatives.....	2-115
Table 2.4-10. Comparison of Total Wetland Impacts from the Salt Lake County Freeway Alternatives.....	2-118
Table 2.4-11. Comparison of Impacts to Playa Wetlands in Salt Lake County.....	2-118

### Chapter 3 Tables

Table 3.2-1. Members of the Mountain View Corridor Growth Choices Stakeholder Committee.....	3-4
Table 3.2-2. Elements and Benefits of MVC EIS Scoping Meeting/Growth Choices Workshops.....	3-5



Table 3.2-3. Characteristics of the Initial Growth Choices Scenarios ..... 3-6  
 Table 3.2-4. Mountain View Vision Voluntary Agreement Principles and Responsibilities ..... 3-9  
 Table 3.4-1. Regional Model Assumptions ..... 3-20  
 Table 3.5-1. Growth Choices Stakeholder Committee Follow-on Coordination Meetings ..... 3-21

**Chapter 4 Tables**

Table 4.2-1. Land Use in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Land Use Impact Analysis Area ..... 4-5  
 Table 4.2-2. Land Use in the Utah County Portion of the Land Use Impact Analysis Area ..... 4-16  
 Table 4.3-1. Land Use Impacts from 5600 West Transit Alternative, I-80 – 2100 South ..... 4-24  
 Table 4.3-2. Land Use Impacts from 5600 West Transit Alternative, 2100 South – 4100 South ..... 4-24  
 Table 4.3-3. Land Use Impacts from 5600 West Transit Alternative, 4100 South – 6200 South ..... 4-25  
 Table 4.3-4. Land Use Impacts from 5600 West Transit Alternative, 6200 South – 10200 South ..... 4-26  
 Table 4.3-5. Land Use Impacts from 5600 West Transit Alternative, 10200 South to 13400 South ..... 4-26  
 Table 4.3-6. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, I-80 to 2100 South ..... 4-29  
 Table 4.3-7. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, 2100 South – 4100 South ..... 4-30  
 Table 4.3-8. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, 4100 South – 6200 South ..... 4-31  
 Table 4.3-9. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, 6200 South – 10200 South ..... 4-31  
 Table 4.3-10. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, 10200 South – 13400 South ..... 4-32  
 Table 4.3-11. Land Use Impacts from 5800 West Freeway Alternative, 13400 South – 15500 South ..... 4-32  
 Table 4.3-12. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, I-80 – 2100 South ..... 4-35  
 Table 4.3-13. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, 2100 South – 4100 South ..... 4-35  
 Table 4.3-14. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, 4100 South – 6200 South ..... 4-36  
 Table 4.3-15. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, 6200 South – 10200 South ..... 4-36  
 Table 4.3-16. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, 10200 South – 13400 South ..... 4-37  
 Table 4.3-17. Land Use Impacts from 7200 West Freeway Alternative, 13400 South – 15500 South ..... 4-37  
 Table 4.3-18. Summary of Land-Use Impacts for Combined Salt Lake County and Utah County Alternatives ..... 4-46  
 Table 4.3-19. Summary of Land-Use Plans’ Consistency with the Salt Lake County Alternatives ..... 4-47  
 Table 4.3-20. Summary of Land-Use Plans’ Consistency with the Utah County Alternatives ..... 4-48



**Chapter 5 Tables**

Table 5.3-1. Description of Farmland Resources in the Impact Analysis Area.....	5-5
Table 5.3-2. Prime, Unique, and State Important Farmland in the Farmland Impact Analysis Area.....	5-7
Table 5.3-3. Cropland or Farmland in the Salt Lake County Farmland Impact Analysis Area.....	5-10
Table 5.3-4. Cropland or Farmland in the Utah County Farmland Impact Analysis Area.....	5-11
Table 5.3-5. Agriculture Protection Areas in the Utah County Farmland Impact Analysis Area.....	5-12
Table 5.4-1. Farmland Conversion.....	5-15
Table 5.4-2. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the 5600 West Transit Alternative.....	5-17
Table 5.4-3. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the 5800 West Freeway Alternative.....	5-18
Table 5.4-4. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the Combined 5800 West Freeway and 5600 West Transit Alternatives.....	5-20
Table 5.4-5. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the 7200 West Freeway Alternative.....	5-21
Table 5.4-6. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the Combined 7200 West Freeway and 5600 West Transit Alternatives.....	5-23
Table 5.4-7. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the Southern Freeway Alternative.....	5-25
Table 5.4-8. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the 2100 North Freeway Alternative.....	5-27
Table 5.4-9. Impacts to Crops and Farmland from the Arterials Alternative.....	5-29
Table 5.4-10. Summary of Impacts to Farmlands.....	5-31

**Chapter 6 Tables**

Table 6.4-1. Elements That Influence Community Cohesion in Salt Lake County.....	6-12
Table 6.4-2. Recreation Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives in Salt Lake County.....	6-14
Table 6.4-3. Community Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives in Salt Lake County.....	6-16
Table 6.4-4. Emergency Service Providers in Salt Lake County.....	6-19
Table 6.4-5. Emergency Services and Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives in Salt Lake County.....	6-20
Table 6.5-1. Elements That Influence Community Cohesion in Utah County.....	6-25
Table 6.5-2. Recreation Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives in Utah County.....	6-27
Table 6.5-3. Community Facilities within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives in Utah County.....	6-29
Table 6.5-4. Emergency Service Providers in Utah County.....	6-30
Table 6.6-1. Utility Crossings by Alternative Segment in Salt Lake County.....	6-42
Table 6.6-2. Summary of Relocations by Alternative in Salt Lake County.....	6-44
Table 6.6-3. Utility Crossings by Alternative for Utah County.....	6-69
Table 6.6-4. Summary of Relocations by Alternative in Utah County.....	6-70
Table 6.6-5. Available (For-Sale) Single-Family Housing in Utah County.....	6-71
Table 6.6-6. Summary of Impacts to Community Resources.....	6-85

## List of Charts

### Chapter 3 Charts

Chart 3-1. 2030 Total Urbanized Acres in the Study Area.....	3-11
Chart 3-2. 2030 Total Land Undeveloped in the Study Area.....	3-12
Chart 3-3. 2030 Total Households by Housing Type in the Study Area.....	3-13
Chart 3-4. Water Storage Capacity Needs in the Study Area.....	3-14
Chart 3-5. 2030 Daily VMT by Scenario in the Study Area.....	3-15
Chart 3-6. 2030 Transit Trips in the Study Area.....	3-16
Chart 3-7. 2030 Infrastructure Cost in the Study Area.....	3-17
Chart 3-8. 2030 Walking and Bicycling Trips in the Study Area.....	3-18

## List of Photos

No Photos

## List of Figures

### Summary Figures

Figure S-1. Urban Development 1972–2004.....	S-3
Figure S-2. Transit Typical Sections – Dedicated Right-of-Way Transit Option.....	S-11
Figure S-3. Transit Alignment – Dedicated Right-of-Way Transit Option.....	S-12
Figure S-4. Transit Typical Sections – Mixed-Traffic Transit Option.....	S-13
Figure S-5. Transit Alignment – Mixed-Traffic Transit Option.....	S-14
Figure S-6. 5800 West Freeway Alternative – Salt Lake County.....	S-15
Figure S-7. Freeway Typical Sections for Salt Lake County: Six-Lane Freeway.....	S-16
Figure S-8. Freeway Typical Sections for Salt Lake County: Eight-Lane Freeway.....	S-17
Figure S-9. 7200 West Freeway Alternative – Salt Lake County.....	S-18
Figure S-10. Southern Freeway Alternative.....	S-21
Figure S-11. 2100 North Freeway Alternative.....	S-22
Figure S-12. Arterials Alternative.....	S-23
Figure S-13. Dedicated Right-of-Way Transit Illustration.....	S-34
Figure S-14. Roadway Phase 1 Illustration.....	S-35
Figure S-15. 2100 North Phase 1 Illustration.....	S-36

### Chapter 3 Figures

Figure 3-1. Relationship between Growth Choices and MVC EIS Processes.....	3-19
--	------