Route 170

Updated: November 2008

Richmond via Trenton to Clarkston May 14, 1935

1953 Description:
From Route 1 in Richmond westerly via Trenton to Clarkston at junction on Route 142.

1963 Description:
From Clarkston on Route 142 easterly via Trenton to Route 85 in Richmond. This route was approved by the Legislature.

Approved by the 1965 Legislature:

1967 Legislature:

1969 Legislature:
This route was re-designated SR-142 by the 1969 Legislature.

*(A) Commission Action February 14, 1992:
Added from Jct. SR-24 in Sevier County northerly via Aurora to a Jct. with SR-50 west of Salina. Sevier County and Aurora Town must convey Right-of-Way Fee Title of no less than 84 ft. widths and other stipulations for roadway to become SR-170.

*(B) Commission Action October 15, 1993:
Deleted SR-170 as a State Route designation.

*Refers to resolution index page following.
Route 170

COUNTY/VOLUME & RESOLUTION NUMBER

A. Sevier Co. Pill  B. Sevier Co. 10/6

DESCRIPTION OF RESOLUTION CHANGE


(B). Deletion - Deleted SR -170 from the State System of Highways and re-designated it as SR-260.
RESOLUTION

Re-designate Route SR-170 to SR-260
Sevier County

WHEREAS, Section 27-12-27 of the Utah Code 1993, provides for additions to or deletions from state highway system, and

WHEREAS, Assigning the proposed SR-170 to a roadway in close proximity to the alignment of I-70, creates confusion and misunderstanding to the general public, and

WHEREAS, The District 3 Director, perceiving the related problems associated with assignment of proposed SR-170 in its present location, requests reassignment of stated route, and

WHEREAS, The appropriate staff of the Transportation Planning Division, having reviewed the problems associated with assigning proposed SR-170 at its present location, concurs with the District 3 Director for the need to re-designate the route.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

1. The Utah Transportation Commission hereby re-designates and assigns said roadway in its entirety to reside as SR-260 becoming effective upon passage of this resolution.

2. The accompanying map be made part of this resolution.

Dated on this 15th day of October, 1993

UTAH TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice-Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

Utah Shores

Secretary
MEMORANDUM

TO: Dan F. Nelson, P.E.
Southern Region Director

FROM: H.H. Richardson, P.E.
Assistant Director

SUBJECT: Aurora Main Street

I was able to inspect the pavement surface of Aurora Main Street last Saturday, and there was more pavement loss than I had expected. There are many places where there has been over break beyond the saw cut lines and left the area jagged and irregular.

If Aurora Town would be open to suggestions offered by you and Sterling to require their contractor to make effective repair, you should move right out and assist them by some field inspections, lab testing, etc., that would identify reasonable actions to optimize the pavement surface repairs and ensure a quality surface for their main street.

You can honestly represent that reconstruction and/or overlaying of their main street, with state funds, is definitely in the long-range program. Their street is not currently even on the State Highway System. They cannot count on UDOT to move in and rescue a lousy utility backfill.

If the City and/or contractor are not open to suggestions, then you may wish to advise them that we would expect them to be responsible for the condition of the trench for three years, the same as we require on our permitting.

We should seek to help the city make a competent analysis of their situation if they are open to discussion. I am sure you and Sterling's joint effort to help them obtain a good measure of performance from their contractor will be appreciated.

HHR/jbl

cc: Sterling Davis, District Three Director
    Clint Topham, Director of Planning
Memorandum

TO:      H.H. Richardson, P.E.  
         Assistant Director

FROM:   Dan F. Nelson, P.E.  
         Southern Region Director

SUBJECT: Aurora Main Street

In reference to the letter written to you yesterday by Sterling Davis about taking this county road on the State system, I agree with Sterling and his concerns. In addition, that portion through the City of Aurora has problems which may be resolved by the contractor when the sewer project is complete; however, I would hope that the material that has been used for back filling of the excavated areas will be replaced. The top 10 or 12 inches should be granular untreated base course, rather than the existing material which appears to have a high percentage of plastic properties. Otherwise, I am afraid the surface, when it is patched, may rut or shove as it currently does each time it becomes wet.

I realize it is the local jurisdiction's responsibility to approve the contractor's completed project, but with the prospect of the State taking over this section of highway, I feel we need to be involved in the final inspection and acceptance. If you concur, I would be happy to work with Sterling and provide support personnel from construction in conjunction with maintenance forces to assist in evaluating the final product. Please let us know if you agree with this at your convenience.

cc: Sterling Davis, P.E.  
    Robert Fox, Chief, Right of Way Division  
    James Nelson, Utilities Engineer  
    Gene Mendenhall, Sevier County Commissioner  
    Lawrence Mason, Aurora Mayor  
    Darwin Hunt, Region Construction Engineer